Several requests to remote servers (e.g., RPC calls) may be bundled into a transaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{begin-transaction} \\
\quad \text{callrpc} \{ \text{OP}_1, \ldots \} \\
\quad \ldots \\
\quad \text{callrpc} \{ \text{OP}_n, \ldots \} \\
\text{end-transaction}
\end{align*}
\]

A distributed transaction involves activities on multiple servers, i.e., within a transaction, services of several servers are utilized.

Transactions satisfy the ACID property: Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability.

1. atomicity: either all operations or no operation of the transaction is executed, i.e., the transaction is a success (commit) or else has no consequence (abort).
2. durability: the results of the transaction are persistent, even if afterwards a system failure occurs.
3. isolation: a not yet completed transaction does not influence other transactions; the effect of several concurrent transactions looks as if they have been executed in sequence.
4. consistency: a transaction transfers the system from a consistent state to a new consistent state.

**Isolation**

Isolation refers to the serializability of transactions. All involved servers are responsible for the serialization of distributed transactions. Example:

- Let $U, T$ be distributed transactions accessing shared data on the two servers $R$ and $S$.
- If the transactions at server $R$ are successfully executed in the sequence $U$ before $T$, then the same commit sequence must apply to server $S$.

**Timestamp ordering**

In a single server transaction, the server issues a unique timestamp to each transaction when it starts.

In a distributed transaction each server is able to issue globally unique timestamps.

For distributed transactions, the timestamp is the pair

\[(\text{local timestamp}, \text{server-ID})\]

The local timestamp refers to the first server which issued the transaction timestamp.

**Optimistic concurrency control**

If conflicts are rare, optimistic concurrency control may be useful: no additional coordination necessary during transaction execution.

- The check for access conflicts occurs when transactions are ready to “commit”:

\[
\text{if} \ (t_{\text{trans}} < t_{\text{obj}}) \ \text{then abort(\text{trans}) else access obj.}
\]
**Locking**

Each server maintains locks for its own data items. Transaction trans requests lock (e.g., read, write lock) before access.

A transaction trans is well-formed if:
- trans locks an object obj before accessing it.
- trans does not lock an object obj which has already been locked by another transaction; except if the locks can coexist, e.g., two read locks.

Prior to termination, trans removes all object locks.

A transaction is called a **2-phase** transaction if no additional locks are requested after the release of objects (“2-phase locking”).
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**Examples**

The following examples show the concurrency control approaches used by some current systems.

**Dropbox**
- cloud service that provides file backup and enables users to share files and folders, accessing them from anywhere.
- uses optimistic concurrency control; file granularity.

**Wikipedia**
- creating and managing of wiki pages
- uses optimistic concurrency control for editing.

**Google Docs**
- cloud service providing web-based applications (word processor, spreadsheet and presentation) that allow users to collaborate by means of shared documents.
- awareness-based concurrency control: if several people edit the same document simultaneously, they will see each other’s changes.

---

**Atomicity and persistence**

These aspects of distributed transactions may be realized by one of the following approaches. Let trans be a transaction.

**Intention list**
- all object modifications performed by trans are entered into the intention list (log file).

  When trans commits successfully, each server $S$ performs all the modifications specified in $AL_S$ (trans) in order to update the local objects; the intention list $AL_S$ (trans) is deleted.

**New version**
- When trans accesses the object obj, the server $S$ creates the new version $obj_{new}$; the new version is only visible to $trans$.

  When trans commits successfully, $obj_{new}$ becomes the new, commonly visible version of obj.

  If trans aborts, $obj_{new}$ is deleted.
Two-phase commit protocol (2PC)

This protocol supports the communication between all involved servers of the distributed transaction in order to jointly decide if the transaction should commit or abort.

We can distinguish between two phases:

- **Voting phase**: the servers submit their vote whether they are prepared to commit their part of the distributed transaction or abort it.

- **Completion phase**: it is decided whether the transaction can be successfully committed or it has to be aborted; all servers must carry out this decision.

**Steps of the two-phase commit protocol**

**Operations**

**Communication in the two-phase commit protocol**

**Problems**

---

Steps of the two-phase commit protocol

1. Coordinator C contacts all servers S_i of the distributed transaction trans requesting their status for the commit (CanCommit)?
   - If server S_i is not ready, i.e., it votes no, then the transaction part at S_i is aborted.
   - If with S_i is not ready
     then trans is aborted; the coordinator sends an abort message to all those servers who have voted with ready (i.e., yes).

2. ∀i with S_i is ready, i.e., commit transaction trans. Coordinator sends a commit message to all servers.

3. Servers send an acknowledgement to the coordinator.

---

The coordinator communicates with the participants to carry out the two-phase commit protocol by means of the following operations:

- `canCommit(trans)`: call from the coordinator to ask whether the participant can commit a transaction; participant replies with its vote.

- `doCommit(trans)`: call from the coordinator to tell participant to commit its part of a transaction.

- `doAbort(trans)`: call from the coordinator to tell participant to abort its part of a transaction.

- `haveCommitted(trans, participant)`: call from participant to coordinator to confirm that it has committed the transaction.

- `getDecision(trans)`: call from participant to coordinator to ask for the decision on trans.

Number of messages: \(4 \times N\) messages for N servers.
During the 2PC process several failures may occur:
- one of servers crashes,
- the coordinator crashes,
depending on their state, this may result in blocking situations, e.g., the coordinator waits for the commit acknowledge of a server, or a server waits for the final decision (commit or abort).

**Extended 2PC**

Three-Phase Commit protocol (3PC) is another approach to overcome blocking of servers until the crashed coordinator recovers.

---

**Distributed transactions**

Distributed transactions are an important paradigm for designing reliable and fault tolerant distributed applications; particularly those distributed applications which access shared data concurrently.

**General observations**

**Isolation**

**Atomicity and persistence**

**Two-phase commit protocol (2PC)**

**Distributed Deadlock**